SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS Environmental COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST					
INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112503 DATE: 03/31/2009 ARRIVE: 8:30AM DEPART: 9:30AM FACILITY NAME: SUNRISE COLLISION, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 1384 NW 65TH WAY DLANTATION: 22212 4541					
PLANTATION 33313-4541 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOE VIVES PHONE: (954)327-9728 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/20/2005 / 8/20/2010 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ☑No					

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining array agating againment to ansure affective application with a minimum of averance 2

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes 🗌 No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes 🗌 No
c)	considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d)	implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e)	implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	

1.	spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning			
	cycles?	Yes		Jo
	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes	□ N	Jo
3)	using water based cleaners?	Yes	□ N	Jo

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	
1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	es 🖾 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? $\overline{\Box}Y$	es 🖾 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	
recent notification form?	es 🖾 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or	
local program office? [Y]	es 🖾 No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

03/31/2009

Date of Inspection

03/31/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 03/31/2009, AQD staff observed operations at Sunrise Collision, Inc. The facility is an auto repair facility which utilizes one spray booth. Mr. Vives was not available during the inspection, but his staff accompanied AQD staff. Housekeeping was o.k. On 4/3/2009 AQD staff contacted Sunrise Collision to confirm they were sending the VOC reports from the vender. The VOC reports would be sent to the department.